Case 3:10-cv-03561-WHA Document 673-30 Filed 01/03/12 Page 1 of 1 Oracle America v. Google - Expert Report of Iain Cockburn – September 12, 2011 - Subject to Protective Order (Contains Confidential and Highly Confidential/Attorneys' Eyes Only Material) Updated as of September 15, 2011

## Exhibit 13 **Summary of Apportionment Assessment** Geographic Adjustment Applied to All Past Patent Damages

	Patent Apportionment Percentage	Past Damages (2008- 2011) <sup>9</sup>	
Patent '104	25%	\$168.2 M	[1]
Patent '205	25%	\$168.2 M	[2]
Patent '720	10%	\$67.3 M	[3]
Patent '702	7%	\$47.1 M	[4]
Patent '520	Up to 1%	Up to \$6.7 M	[5]
Patent '447	Up to 1%	Up to \$6.7 M	[6]
Patent '476	Up to 1%	Up to \$6.7 M	[7]
Patents '447, '476, '520	Up to 3%	Up to \$20.2	[8]
Patents '104, '205, '720, '702, '520, '447, and '476	30%	\$201.8 M	[8]

## Notes & Sources

- [1] Exhibit 6.
- [2] Exhibit 7.
- [3] Exhibit 8.
- [4] Exhibit 9.
- [5] Exhibit 10.
- [6] Exhibit 11.
- [7] Exhibit 12.
- [8] Apportionment for a license including all 7 patents is not equal to the sum of the apportionment for each patent individually.
- [9] Calculated according to methodology laid out in Exhibits 14 16.